Diet and divergence of introduced smallmouth bass (*Micropterus dolomieu*) populations

Erin S. Dunlop, Judi A. Orendorff, Brian J. Shuter, F. Helen Rodd, and Mark S. Ridgway

Abstract: We examine the degree and causes of divergence in growth and reproduction in two populations of smallmouth bass (*Micropterus dolomieu*) introduced a century ago. Despite a common source, the Provoking Lake population now has a higher population density and slower growing individuals than the Opeongo Lake population. Using this system, we test the predictions of life history theory that delayed maturation and reduced reproductive investment are expected in high density populations with slow individual growth rates. Observations on both populations run directly counter to the aforementioned expectations. Instead, Provoking males have smaller sizes and younger ages at nesting and higher gonad masses than Opeongo females. Temperature, food availability, diet ontogeny, young-of-the-year mortality, and adult mortality were examined as plausible contributors to the divergence. Results suggest that low food availability, likely caused or mediated by intraspecific competition for prey, and lack of large prey in the diet are contributing to the slow growth, increased reproductive investment, and higher mortality following reproduction in Provoking. This study provides insight into the processes that produce rapid divergence of life history in a species exhibiting parental care.

Résumé : Nous examinons l'importance et les causes de la divergence dans la croissance et la reproduction chez deux populations d'achigans à petite bouche (Micropterus dolomieu) introduites il y a un siècle. Malgré une origine commune, la population du lac Provoking possède actuellement une densité de population plus grande et des individus à croissance plus lente que la population du lac Opeongo. Nous avons vérifié, dans ce système, les prédictions de la théorie démographique selon lesquelles, dans les populations à forte densité avec des taux de croissance individuelle lents, on peut s'attendre à une maturation retardée et un investissement reproductif réduit. Les observations faites dans les deux populations contredisent complètement ces prévisions. Au contraire, les mâles du lac Provoking sont plus petits et plus jeunes au moment de la nidification et ils ont des gonades plus lourdes que ceux du lac Opeongo; les femelles du lac Provoking sont plus petites à la maturité et ont des oeufs plus gros et une masse sèche des ovaires plus grande que les femelles du lac Opeongo. Nous avons examiné la température, la disponibilité de la nourriture, l'ontogénie du régime alimentaire, la mortalité des jeunes de l'année et la mortalité des adultes comme facteurs pouvant contribuer à cette divergence. Nos résultats indiquent qu'une disponibilité réduite de nourriture, vraisemblablement causée ou entraînée par la compétition intraspécifique pour les proies, ainsi que l'absence de proies de grande taille dans le régime alimentaire, contribuent à la croissance lente, à l'investissement reproductif accru et à la mortalité plus élevée après la reproduction dans le lac Provoking. Notre étude ouvre des perspectives sur les processus qui génèrent une divergence rapide du cycle biologique chez une espèce qui prodigue des soins parentaux.

[Traduit par la Rédaction]

Introduction

Rapid divergence of life history traits can occur between recently colonized and subsequently isolated populations,

and over time, this divergence can involve an evolutionary shift in response to selective forces in the new environment (e.g., Reznick et al. 1990; Haugen and Vøllestad 2001). Recent studies have observed evolutionary divergence in

Received 21 April 2004. Accepted 22 February 2005. Published on the NRC Research Press Web site at http://cjfas.nrc.ca on 26 August 2005. J18077

E.S. Dunlop^{1,2} and F.H. Rodd. Department of Zoology, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON M5S 3G5, Canada. **J.A. Orendorff.** Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, Aurora District, 50 Bloomington Road West, Aurora, ON L4G 3G8, Canada.

B.J. Shuter. Department of Zoology, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON M5S 3G5, Canada, and Harkness Laboratory of Fisheries Research, Aquatic Research and Development Section, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, 3rd Floor North, 300 Water Street, Peterborough, ON K9J 8M5, Canada.

M.S. Ridgway. Harkness Laboratory of Fisheries Research, Aquatic Research and Development Section, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, 3rd Floor North, 300 Water Street, Peterborough, ON K9J 8M5, Canada.

¹Corresponding author (e-mail: dunlop@iiasa.ac.at).

²Present address: International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis, A-2361 Laxenburg, Austria.

growth and maturation within a century in separated grayling (*Thymallus thymallus*) populations (Haugen and Vøllestad 2000; Koskinen et al. 2002) and in 25–30 generations in partially isolated chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) populations (Unwin et al. 2000; Quinn et al. 2001). Studies of divergence provide a rare and important glimpse at the processes creating widespread life history variation among populations and the natural evolution of new populations.

In the present study, we characterize the degree and possible causes of divergence in the individual growth and reproductive traits of two populations of a paternally nest guarding fish, the smallmouth bass *Micropterus dolomieu*. The two populations, from Provoking and Opeongo Lakes in Ontario, were introduced in the early 1900s from the same source (Christie 1957; Orendorff 1983; Kerr and Lasenby 2000), but since introduction, have diverged in life history. In the 1980s, the Provoking population was reported to have a high density and slow-growing individuals relative to the Opeongo population; these slower growth rates were seen as a likely consequence of high intraspecific competition for food (Orendorff 1983).

Life history theory predicts delayed maturation and reduced reproductive investment in populations such as Provoking, with slow individual growth and high population density (Gadgil and Bossert 1970; Pianka 1970; Ylikarjula et al. 1999). We tested this prediction on the Opeongo and Provoking populations. In Opeongo, not all mature males actually breed each year and the relationship is negatively density-dependent (Ridgway et al. 2002). Those that do breed when density is high are the larger individuals (Ridgway et al. 2002), probably because smaller males do not have enough energy reserves for the costly nest-guarding period (Mackereth et al. 1999). Given these observations, Provoking bass may delay maturation until they are older or larger in the high density environment and, because smaller bass tend to have lower energy reserves (Mackereth et al. 1999), may have less energy available for gonadal investment.

In nature, the forces driving life history can be complex and discrepancies between theoretical expectations and observed life history patterns may arise when multiple, often confounding, variables are influencing life history traits (Reznick et al. 2002). Also, the theoretical predictions of models vary depending on the functions and measures of fitness (i.e., intrinsic rate of population growth versus expected lifetime reproduction) used (see discussions in Mylius and Dieckmann (1995) and Abrams and Rowe (1996)). Consequently, not all empirical observations have supported the prediction of delayed maturation and reduced reproductive investment in high density populations. Fox (1994) found the opposite pattern, an association between early maturity, increased gonadal investment, and high density in Ontario pumpkinseed (Lepomis gibbosus) populations. Mortality rates are a force capable of influencing life history and can themselves modify resource availability, population density, and somatic growth (Abrams and Rowe 1996). High mortality, particularly at adult stages, can select for earlier maturation and higher reproductive investment (Hutchings 1993; Reznick et al. 1996; Lester et al. 2004). Differential mortality rates between the Provoking and Opeongo environments may have contributed to the divergence and may modify the expected life history patterns.

Other factors, most notably diet ontogeny, may have contributed to the divergence of the Provoking and Opeongo populations. A depauperate prey field can limit or prevent the ontogenetic diet shifts that a predator typically exhibits as it increases in body size and can result in slower individual growth rates (Sherwood et al. 2002); preliminary work suggests that the Provoking diet is lacking in large prey (Orendorff 1983). Water temperatures are also important in determining individual growth in bass and should be examined as a possible driver of divergence (Shuter and Ridgway 2002).

The purpose of this study is threefold. First, we test the predictions of life history theory that individuals from the higher density Provoking population should exhibit a slower lifetime growth pattern, depleted somatic energy, delayed maturation, and reduced reproductive investment. Second, we examine evidence that the divergence in life history was driven by the density difference, through its impact on food availability. We do this by documenting the diet of the two populations and by conducting a transplant experiment to assess the degree to which the observed diet and growth differences are plastic responses to the feeding environment. Third, we document interpopulation differences in other factors that could have contributed to the observed life history divergence. Specifically, we document differences in the following: (i) ontogenetic diet shifts and the correspondence between those shifts and changes in the lifetime growth pattern; (ii) young-of-the-year and adult mortality; and (iii) water temperature.

Although several studies have examined the correlates of between-population differences in growth and maturation (e.g., Hutchings 1993; Fox 1994; Reznick et al. 2001), studies of recently diverged populations are rare and can provide insight into the processes that create variation. Our study makes a significant new contribution to this body of work because of the following: (i) it documents the rapid divergence in the wild of a fish species that exhibits parental care; the presence of parental care significantly affects the tradeoffs between growth, reproduction, and survival (Mackereth et al. 1999; Ridgway et al. 2002), and studies of divergence in such species should provide instructive new tests of this body of theory; (ii) our characterization of divergence in reproductive traits is comprehensive; and (iii) our evaluation of possible mechanisms driving divergence is also comprehensive and we demonstrate the importance of factors that have received little attention in previous work, particularly, diet ontogeny.

Methods

The study lakes

Provoking Lake ($45^{\circ}30'N$, $78^{\circ}29'W$) and Opeongo Lake ($45^{\circ}42'N$, $78^{\circ}22'W$) are located 10 km apart and about 400 km northwest of Toronto, Ontario, in Algonquin Provincial Park. Provoking Lake has an area of 1.1 km², and the only other fish, in addition to smallmouth bass, are splake (*Salvelinus namaycush* × *Salvelinus fontinalis*) and yellow perch (*Perca flavescens*). Opeongo Lake is larger (58.6 km^2) and has many fish species, including yellow perch (*P. flavescens*), pumpkinseed (*L. gibbosus*), lake trout (*Salvelinus namaycush*), numerous species of cyprinids (family Cyprin-

idae), and many others (see Martin and Fry 1973 for a complete list). Both smallmouth bass populations were introduced between 1900 and 1920 (Christie 1957; Orendorff 1983), from an Ontario hatchery, as part of the provincial railway stocking program (Kerr and Lasenby 2000). In 1981–1982, Orendorff (1983) estimated mature population densities using mark-recapture and Chapman's modified version of the Petersen method (Ricker 1975). The Provoking population had a higher density (3741 adults km⁻²) and slower individual growth rates, while the Opeongo population had a lower density (82 adults km⁻²) and faster growth rates (Orendorff 1983). For the current study, we compared Provoking and Opeongo data from two time periods: 1975-1985 and 1993-2003. A third smallmouth bass population from Bridle Lake was also examined in the 1980s as part of a transplant experiment. Bridle Lake (45°40'N, 78°09'W) is located in Algonquin Provincial Park and contains a lowdensity smallmouth bass population (250 adults·km⁻²) made up of individuals that are faster growing than the Opeongo population (Orendorff 1983).

Field sampling

The mean daily littoral temperatures were compared between lakes to evaluate how temperature influences growth differences. Littoral zone temperatures (1- to 1.5-m depths) were monitored from the spring through the fall of 2001 in 8 locations in Provoking and 10 locations in Opeongo using Stowaway (Onset Corporation, Maine, USA) temperature loggers.

To characterize the differences between smallmouth bass in Provoking and Opeongo, populations were sampled in the fall of 2000, prior to the spawning period in the spring of 2001 and in the summer of 2002. Trap nets (24h sets, 4- to 6-foot nets; 1 foot = 0.3048 m), minnow traps (24-h sets), and angling were used to capture smallmouth bass. Body lengths were measured and scales taken for aging purposes from all fish. Samples caught from the various gear types were pooled because our estimates (i.e., growth, diet, maturation) were compared between populations relative to body size and (or) mass; thus representative samples from all age and (or) size classes were required. The effects of gear selectivity and sampling effort will be minimal for the estimates we used. The 2000-2001 sampling data were used to characterize lifetime growth patterns, age and size at maturation, and reproductive investment, while the 2002 summer sampling was used to assess diet and somatic and gonadal energy content. Summer sampling was chosen to measure diet and energy because, at this time of year, temperature differences between the two lakes were minimal, and potentially confounding spawning and nest-guarding activities were completed. Sampling to assess lifetime growth patterns and diet was also conducted on the Provoking and Bridle populations in 1981-1982 using angling, gill nets, and trap nets, and on the Opeongo population in 1975-1985 using an annual creel survey (described in Shuter et al. 1987).

Smallmouth bass display paternal care in the form of an extended nest-guarding period in the spring. To estimate mortality and the age and size at reproduction in nesting males, mark–recapture surveys were done on Opeongo Lake in 1993–2003 and Provoking Lake in 2000–2003. Both lakes were monitored frequently by snorkelers throughout the nesting season to locate smallmouth bass nests. Male nest

guarders were captured with fishing rods, had from three to six scales removed for aging purposes, were given dorsal spine clips and tags (external t-tags in all years and passive integrated transponder tags since 1997), and were released back onto their nests within 1-5 min of first capture (see Ridgway et al. 1991 for detailed methods). Dorsal spine clips were permanent and their presence was easily visible to snorkelers, enabling them to identify those males that were sampled in a previous year. For Opeongo Lake, Jones Bay (the primary spawning area of the population; see Ridgway et al. 1991) was sampled, and for Provoking Lake, the entire perimeter of the lake was sampled for nests. On Opeongo from 1993 to 1997, all nesting males in all sections of Jones Bay were sampled, and from 1998 to 2003, all males in only the south section of Jones Bay were sampled. For Provoking, smallmouth bass nest in specific concentrated areas, and it is possible to sample most nesting males in these locations. Also, all males on both lakes that were identified as nesters from a previous year (i.e., those with clips) were captured and sampled again. Smallmouth bass show extremely high nest-site fidelity with 94% of experienced males returning to within 200 m and 35% returning to within 20 m (the modal distance category) of their original nest site (Ridgway et al. 2002). Therefore, by sampling the same areas on each lake across years and by capturing all previously clipped fish, it was possible to determine how many males did not return to nest in a subsequent year. Similar nesting surveys were completed on Opeongo and Provoking in 1981-1982.

Growth and energy density

Individual growth rates

Back-calculations of lengths-at-ages (Francis 1990) were performed by a single researcher on smallmouth bass that were 5 years old and younger using the population scale samples that were collected in 2000-2001. Individuals older than 5 years were not used because of the potential bias in the back-calculated sizes of older fish (Casselman 1987). For bass caught in trap nets, only those with fork lengths greater than 20 cm were used to ensure full recruitment to the gear (i.e., to exclude size classes that are usually too small to be captured by the trap nets). Back-calculated lengths-at-ages for ages 1-5 years plus actual lengths for all ages captured (except trap-netted fish <20 cm) were used to construct mean size-at-age curves for each population. Individual growth rates were also measured for Provoking bass captured in 1981-1982 and Opeongo bass captured in 1975-1985; these were used to construct mean size-at-age curves following similar methodology to that used in 2000-2001. The aging and back-calculation techniques were validated using tagged, recaptured Opeongo smallmouth bass sampled over a 10-year period and using multiple aging structures (scales, spines, opercula) of smallmouth bass from both Provoking Lake and Opeongo Lake.

Growth plasticity: reciprocal transplant experiment

A reciprocal transplant experiment was carried out in Provoking and Bridle Lakes in 1981–1982 to test the hypothesis that the slow growth in Provoking is a plastic response to a high density – low food environment. We chose Bridle Lake because its smallmouth bass population consists of fast-growing individuals like Opeongo, and it has a small size (0.2 km^2) . Opeongo Lake was not used in the transplant experiment because of its large size, and recapture rates of transplanted individuals in such a large lake would have been too low.

In the fall of 1981, 45 smallmouth bass (15- to 19.5-cm fork lengths) and 43 smallmouth bass (20- to 25-cm fork lengths) were captured in Provoking and released in Bridle, while 45 smallmouth bass (>25-cm fork lengths) were captured in Bridle and released in Provoking. Transferred bass were predicted to enter the next size category by the following summer in Bridle but not in Provoking. Different size ranges were transferred for each lake because the size at maturation is larger in Bridle (25 cm) than in Provoking (20 cm). All transferred fish were given a one-half left pelvic clip and external plastic disc tags applied posterior to the dorsal fin using polypropylene line. The transferred bass were recaptured in the summer of 1982 using angling and gill nets to measure diet using gastric lavage (Foster 1977) and then re-released. The transferred bass were again captured (using angling and gill nets) for a final comparison 1 year after initial transfer, and scales were removed to measure growth. In total, 13 non-native smallmouth bass were recaptured in Bridle and 5 non-native smallmouth bass were recaptured in Provoking in the fall of 1982 (i.e., 1 year after initial transfer). At the same time, native smallmouth bass were also captured from each population. The mean scale increment was measured for the prior year of growth in both natives and non-natives in each lake. Two-tailed t tests were used to compare mean scale increments for native versus non-native transplanted bass of similar size. Since scale growth is closely linked to body growth (Ricker 1992), we could determine if the growth of the transplanted bass shifted in the predicted direction (i.e., slower in Provoking; faster in Bridle).

Somatic energy density

To more fully understand how resource limitation has influenced growth and reproductive investment, we measured somatic energy density. Individual bass that were collected and frozen in the summer of 2002 were removed from the freezer, thawed, and the gonads removed. The whole body of each bass, minus the gonads, was homogenized. A subsample (~100 g) of the homogenized tissue was placed in a drying oven at 110 °C until it reached a constant mass. Dry mass was recorded, and the dry homogenized tissue was ground to a consistent powder and stored frozen in sealed bags. Energy density of the somatic tissue was determined using isoperibol bomb calorimetry. The relationship between energy density and body mass for individuals from each population was described using a polynomial function.

Reproduction

Age and size at maturation

Smallmouth bass captured during the population sampling in the fall of 2000 were dissected to determine reproductive status on the day of capture; assessment of maturity was based on visual examination of gonads. Fitted logistic functions (of age or body size versus reproductive status) were used to determine the age and length at which 50% of the males and females were mature (the age and size at 50% maturity). The ages used for the logistic functions were the observed ages plus 1 year because the stage of development of the gonads in the fall indicated whether the fish was preparing to spawn the following spring. The lengths used were the observed lengths because little growth is expected during the winter months (Shuter and Post 1990). Generalized linear models using a likelihood ratio test (McCullagh and Nelder 1989) in STATISTICA (version 6.1; Statsoft Inc., Tulsa, Oklahoma) were used to test between-lake differences in the age and size at 50% maturity. To account for possible type-I errors with multiple tests, we performed Bonferroni corrections (Quinn and Keough 2002) for between-lake tests of either length or age at 50% maturity.

Sampled nest-guarding males were used to characterize the age and body length distributions of breeding adults. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was done in STATISTICA to compare distributions between populations for both time periods (1981–1982 and 2000–2003).

Reproductive investment

We used gonad mass, ovary egg size, and fecundity as measures of reproductive investment. Mature males and females sampled in the spring of 2001 were brought back to the laboratory and their body and gonad masses were measured on the same day as capture. To estimate egg size and fecundity, we preserved ovaries in a fixative (one part glacial acetic acid, one part formalin, one part glycerol, three parts ethanol, and five parts distilled water), and within 2 months, removed from the fixative and blotted dry. Excess tissue was removed and the ovaries were divided into four sections. Each section was weighed and one section was randomly chosen for further analysis. For each female, we measured the diameter of 50 randomly selected eggs and calculated the mean ovary egg diameter. To estimate fecundity, the following relationship was assumed:

(1)
$$\frac{N_{\rm S}}{N_{\rm T}} = \frac{M_{\rm S}}{M_T}$$

where $N_{\rm S}$ is the number of eggs in the sampled ovary section, $N_{\rm T}$ is the total fecundity, $M_{\rm S}$ is the mass of the sampled ovary section, and $M_{\rm T}$ is the total mass of the ovary. $N_{\rm S}$ was estimated by subsampling as follows: (i) eggs were placed in a single layer in a 1-cm² gridded dish; (*ii*) the mean number of eggs per grid was calculated by averaging the number of eggs counted in three grids; and (iii) the mean number of eggs per grid was multiplied by the total number of grids to give $N_{\rm S}$. Equation 1 was then rearranged to solve for fecundity N_T. Variables (gonad mass, mean ovary egg diameter, fecundity) were natural log transformed (to linearize relationships) and regressed against body mass. To compare between-lake differences relative to body mass (i.e., to account for the confounding influence of body mass), we performed analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) in S-Plus (version 6.0; Insightful Corporation, Seattle, Washington) using body mass (g) as the covariate and either gonad mass (g), egg size (mm), or fecundity (number of eggs) as dependent variables. The ANCOVA assumption of statistically homogenous slopes was also tested in S-Plus for all variables (Quinn and Keough 2002).

We conducted one additional comparison of reproductive investment based on ovary dry-matter content from female bass sampled in both lakes in the summer of 2002. Frozen fish were thawed, and their ovaries were removed, weighed, homogenized, and placed in a drying oven at 110 °C until they reached a constant mass. The proportion dry mass was estimated by dividing the dry mass by the wet mass of the ovary. There was no relationship between proportion dry mass of ovaries and body wet mass ($F_{[1,23]} = 4.2$, P > 0.05, N = 25) and proportion dry mass was compared between populations using Mann–Whitney (M–W) tests (Quinn and Keough 2002) performed in STATISTICA (version 6.1; Statsoft Inc., Tulsa, Oklahoma). We used M–W tests because the distribution of the proportion of dry mass was non-normal.

Diet

Diet was assessed for Provoking and Opeongo smallmouth bass captured and frozen in the summer of 2002. Each bass was thawed and we measured mass of stomach contents, length of each prey item, and the percentage of occurrence of prey items in the stomach. For each population, the mean percentage of occurrence of prey items and the percentage of empty stomachs were calculated. Stomach content mass and prey length were natural log transformed for normality and ANOVA was used to test for between-lake differences; ANCOVA with body mass as the covariate was also performed to test for differences between lakes. M–W tests were performed to test for between-lake differences in the amount of each prey type in the diet (because of nonnormality in prey type).

Diets were also measured in the Provoking and Opeongo populations in 1981 from processed fish and in the Provoking and Bridle populations in 1982 using pulsed gastric lavage of live fish (Foster 1977). The mean percentage of occurrence of prey items in the diet and the percentage of empty stomachs were calculated, and between-lake differences in the amount of each prey type were tested using M– W tests. Bonferroni corrections (Quinn and Keough 2002) were made for each time period to account for potential type-I errors with multiple tests.

Mortality

Nesting males

Mortality for first-time nesting male smallmouth bass was estimated by dividing the number of males that did not return to spawn in a subsequent year by the total number of males clipped for that age. We pooled the 1991-1998 cohorts to obtain representative sample sizes, and for Opeongo, only used males captured within the south section of Jones Bay (because the south section was sampled every year). To test for between-lake differences in the number of first-time nesting males that returned with those that did not return, χ^2 tests were done in STATISTICA (version 6.1; Statsoft Inc., Tulsa, Oklahoma) and Bonferroni corrections were done to account for type-I errors. While it is possible that some males strayed to nest in unsampled areas of the lake in subsequent years, the number is likely low, given the high nestsite fidelity of smallmouth bass (Ridgway et al. 2002). Since straying is not a problem in the Provoking samples (the entire shoreline was sampled), its only impact would be on the **Fig. 1.** Mean size-at-age ± 1 standard deviation for Provoking and Opeongo smallmouth bass, *Micropterus dolomieu*, in 2000–2001 (\bullet , Provoking; \bigcirc , Opeongo) and 1975–1985 (solid line,

Provoking; broken line, Opeongo). Sample sizes: 312, Provoking (2000–2001); 301, Opeongo (2000–2001); 715, Provoking (1981–1982); 549, Opeongo (1975–1985).



Opeongo mortality estimates, where it would inflate them slightly.

Young-of-the-year: removal experiment

To estimate relative predation pressure on young-of-theyear, we conducted a removal experiment of nest-guarding males in 2003. We randomly chose 10 nests in Jones Bay, Opeongo, and 11 nests in Provoking for the experiment; all nests had new, fresh eggs. The number of eggs in each nest was counted and the nest-guarding male was permanently removed from the nest. The number of eggs was counted 1 and 24 h following the removal of the male. We used the proportion of eggs removed from the nest as a relative measure of predation. Between-lake differences were tested using ANOVA.

Results

Water temperatures

Littoral water temperatures were similar for Provoking and Opeongo Lakes in the summer, with the mean difference between them being 0.44 °C. Provoking was slightly warmer in the spring (mean difference of 1.8 °C), and cooler in the fall (mean difference of -1.8 °C). The number of days with littoral temperatures above 10 °C was 152 for Provoking and 154 for Opeongo, above 15 °C was 122 for Provoking and 111 for Opeongo, and above 20 °C was 66 for Provoking and 56 for Opeongo. Thus the number of warm days was higher for Provoking than for Opeongo. Since the lakes are only 10 km apart, climatic differences are expected to be minimal.

Source population		
Provoking Lake	Bridle Lake	
15.66±0.54	18.56±1.25	
19.21±1.31	7.25 ± 0.77	
19–28	30-36	
	Source population Provoking Lake 15.66±0.54 19.21±1.31 19–28	

Table 1. Comparison of native and transplanted smallmouth bass, *Micropterus dolomieu*, from the reciprocal transplant experiment of 1981–1982.

Note: Significant differences were found in growth between transplanted bass and those that remained in the native lake (two-tailed t tests, P < 0.01).

Growth and energy density

Individual growth rates

The lifetime growth patterns of bass from Provoking and Opeongo were different in 2000–2001 (Fig. 1). Growth was similar for the first 3–4 years of life, after which the growth of Provoking individuals was considerably less than that of Opeongo individuals. Opeongo bass also reached a greater maximum body length than Provoking bass. For both lakes, males and females showed similar lifetime growth patterns (mean female fork length divided by male fork length for each age ranged from 0.89 to 1.11 for Provoking and 0.94 to 1.08 for Opeongo). A similar pattern of slower growth in Provoking after age 3–4 was also found in 1975–1985 (Fig. 1).

Growth plasticity: reciprocal transplant experiment

Provoking bass that were transplanted to Bridle grew at greater rates than their counterparts that remained in Provoking and Bridle bass transplanted to Provoking grew at slower rates than their counterparts that remained in Bridle (Table 1). Therefore, although sample sizes of recaptured fish were low, the differences in growth between transplanted and native fish were significant and in the predicted direction. Also, although the size range of bass used was different for the two lakes, the *t* tests compared bass of similar lengths.

The diet of transplanted Provoking bass resembled the diet of native Bridle bass. Transplanted Provoking bass (N = 24) contained more fish and crayfish and had fewer empty stomachs (14% versus 30% empty stomachs) than resident Provoking bass of the same size. Only five of the transplanted Bridle bass were captured in Provoking Lake for the diet study, and all stomachs were empty.

Somatic energy density

Substantial differences were observed between the pattern of energy content of Provoking and Opeongo smallmouth bass. The somatic energy density was similar between lakes for smaller body sizes but then decreased in Provoking as body size increased (Fig. 2). For larger body sizes, the somatic energy density of individuals in Opeongo was greater than for those in Provoking (Fig. 2).

Reproduction

Age and size at maturation

Provoking bass matured at smaller sizes than Opeongo bass. In 2000, Provoking bass had significantly smaller sizes at 50% maturity than Opeongo bass (Table 2). Provoking nesting males were significantly smaller than Opeongo nest-

Fig. 2. Somatic energy density relative to fork length in Provoking (\bullet and solid line; $y = 5598 - 51.1x + 0.6x^2$) and Opeongo (\bigcirc and broken line; $y = 5111 + 9.6x - 0.2x^2$) smallmouth bass, *Micropterus dolomieu*.



ing males in 2000–2003 (Fig. 3*a*; $F_{[1,458]} = 327.0$, P < 0.01; for Provoking, mean = 25 ± 3.7 cm, N = 218; for Opeongo, mean = 32 ± 4.9 cm, N = 342) and in 1981–1982 ($F_{[1,155]} = 30.7$; P < 0.001; for Provoking, mean = 26 ± 3.4, N = 90; for Opeongo, mean = 30 ± 5.4 cm, N = 67). Nesting Provoking males also had a smaller minimum size than nesting Opeongo males in both sampling periods (Fig. 3*a*).

The differences in the age at maturation were less pronounced. The estimated age at 50% maturity was similar in 2000 for females in both lakes, but was significantly younger for Opeongo males than for Provoking males (Table 2). Provoking nesting males were significantly younger than Opeongo nesting males in 2000–2003 (Fig. 3b; $F_{[1,458]} = 29.7$, P < 0.001; for Provoking, mean = 6.2 ± 1.4 years, N = 218; for Opeongo, mean = 6.8 ± 1.5 years, N = 342) and in 1981–1982 ($F_{[1,155]} = 10.7$, P < 0.01; for Provoking, mean = 6.3 ± 1.5 years, N = 90; for Opeongo, mean = 7.2 ± 1.6 years, N = 67).

Reproductive investment

To compare reproductive investment by mature males, we plotted gonad mass against body mass (Fig. 4*a*). The ANCOVA was significant ($F_{[1,31]} = 14.4$, P < 0.001,

	Provoking	Opeongo	Provoking	Opeongo
	males	males	females	females
Fork length				
No. of mature/immature used in estimates	34/41	42/29	35/32	99/44
Mean size of mature/immature (cm)	25/19	30/21	25/17	32/23
Standard error of mature/immature	0.5/0.5	0.8/0.5	0.7/0.5	0.5/0.5
Minimum length at maturity (cm)	20	18	19	24
Length at 50% maturity (cm)	22	24	21	26
Log-likelihood/ χ^2	-46/4.6*		-44/46*†	
Age				
No. of mature/immature used in estimates	34/41	41/28	35/32	98/41
Mean age of mature/immature (years)	6/4	6/4	7/4	7/4
Standard error of mature/immature	0.3/0.2	0.3/0.2	0.3/0.2	0.2/0.2
Minimum age at maturity (years)	3	3	4	4
Age at 50% maturity (years)	5	4	5	5
Log-likelihood/ χ^2	-57/9.2*†		-47/0.2	

Table 2. Maturation patterns of smallmouth bass, *Micropterus dolomieu*, in the fall of 2000.

Note: Log-likelihood and χ^2 statistics used to test between 50% estimates for each sex are shown.

*Significant difference at P < 0.05.

†Significant difference at P < 0.0042 after Bonferroni correction.

Fig. 3. (*a*) Fork length and (*b*) age distributions for Provoking (solid bars) and Opeongo (hatched bars) nesting male smallmouth bass, *Micropterus dolomieu*, in 2000–2003. Range (lines) and means (squares) shown above for Provoking (solid) and Opeongo (hatched) in 1981–1982.



N = 34), and the assumption of homogenous slopes was met ($F_{[1,30]} = 0.0001$, P > 0.90, N = 34). Provoking males had greater gonad masses than Opeongo males of similar body mass. For mature female gonad mass (Fig. 4*a*), the ANCOVA ($F_{[1,58]} = 2.9$, P = 0.09, N = 61) and the test for homogenous slopes ($F_{[1,57]} = 3.2$, P = 0.08, N = 61) were close to significant (i.e., P values were between 0.05 and 0.10). The fitted regression lines suggest that small Provoking females invest more in gonad mass than small Opeongo females, whereas investment by larger females is similar (Fig. 4*a*).

Ovary egg size and fecundity were also plotted against body mass and compared between lakes (Figs. 4b and 4c). The assumption of homogenous slopes was met for both egg diameter ($F_{[1,38]} = 2.1$, P > 0.10, N = 42) and fecundity ($F_{[1,38]} = 1.9$, P > 0.10, N = 42). The ANCOVA was significant for mean gonad egg diameter ($F_{[1,39]} = 31.4$, P < 0.001, N = 42) but not for fecundity ($F_{[1,39]} = 1.8$, P > 0.10, N = 42). Therefore, provoking females had significantly larger egg diameters but similar fecundities relative to Opeongo females of similar body mass. Note that Fig. 4b is shown on a much finer scale than Fig. 4a, and although there is a significant difference in egg diameter between populations, this does not translate into a large, observable difference in gonad mass (on the scale used in Fig. 4a) between populations. This explains why similar fecundities but differences in gonad mass between populations.

For the proportion dry mass of mature ovaries, the M–W test was significant (Z = -2.0, P < 0.05, N = 25), indicating that Provoking females had higher gonad proportion dry weights than Opeongo females (Fig. 5).

Fig. 4. Reproductive investment relative to body mass for mature 2000–2001 Provoking (solid symbols, solid lines) and Opeongo (open symbols, broken lines) smallmouth bass, *Micropterus dolomieu*. Females are squares, males are triangles. (*a*) Gonad mass relative to body mass for Provoking females ($\ln y = 1.0 \ln x - 3.1$, $r^2 = 0.66$) and males ($\ln y = 1.5 \ln x - 7.2$, $r^2 = 0.90$) and Opeongo females ($\ln y = 1.4 \ln x - 5.2$, $r^2 = 0.82$) and males ($\ln y = 1.5 \ln x - 7.6$, $r^2 = 0.87$). (*b*) Mean gonad egg diameter relative to body mass for Provoking ($\ln y = 0.08 \ln x + 0.5$, $r^2 = 0.1$) and Opeongo ($\ln y = 0.08 \ln x - 0.28$, $r^2 = 0.17$). (*c*) Female fecundity relative to body mass; solid line is both populations combined ($\ln y = 0.93 \ln x + 3.3$, $r^2 = 0.66$).



Fig. 5. Frequency histogram showing the proportion dry mass of the ovaries of mature Provoking (solid bars) and Opeongo (hatched bars) smallmouth bass, *Micropterus dolomieu*.



Diet

There were substantial differences between populations in the amount and types of prey consumed. In 2002, 33% of all Provoking and 29% of all Opeongo smallmouth bass had empty stomachs. For adults, the percentage of empty stomachs was 40% for Provoking and 35% for Opeongo. The stomach contents of Provoking bass weighed significantly less ($F_{[1,87]} = 10.3$, P < 0.01; for Provoking, mean = 1.9 g, standard error (SE) = 1.0, N = 25; for Opeongo, mean = 4.3 g, SE = 1.2, N = 43), and prey items had significantly shorter body lengths ($F_{[1,57]} = 5.0$, P < 0.05; for Provoking, mean = 25.1 mm, SE = 6.0, N = 29; for Opeongo, mean = 37.7 mm, SE = 4.5, N = 30) than Opeongo bass. These differences were also significant when using body mass as a covariate (for stomach content mass ANCOVA, $F_{[1,86]}$ = 12.3, P < 0.001; for prey length ANCOVA, $F_{[1.56]} = 5.7, P < 1000$ 0.001; slopes were homogenous for stomach content, $F_{[1,85]} = 0.24, P > 0.10$; slopes were homogenous for prey length, $F_{[1,55]} = 1.3$, P > 0.10). Opeongo bass had significantly more crayfish in their stomachs than Provoking bass; in contrast, Provoking bass had significantly more insects in their stomachs than Opeongo bass (Table 3).

Similar differences were observed in 1981 between Provoking and Opeongo bass in the types of prey consumed (Table 3). As in 2002, the major difference between the populations in 1981 was that crayfish made up a large proportion of the Opeongo bass diet, whereas insects were the major component of the Provoking bass diet. In 1982, the Bridle population had higher proportions of crayfish and lower proportions of insects in their diet than the Provoking population (Table 3).

As body size increased, so also did the difference in diets between Provoking and Opeongo bass (Table 4). The diet of 5- to 10-cm bass in both populations consisted of smallbodied prey, such as plankton and insects. As bass size in-

Table 3. A comparison of the diets of smallmouth bass, *Micropterus dolomieu*, from Provoking, Bridle, and Opeongo Lakes, showing the mean percentage of occurrence of prey items in the diet, the percent of empty stomachs (% Empty) and the sample size (*N*) for pooled juvenile and adult smallmouth bass.

Lake	% Crayfish	% Insects	% Fish	% Other	% Empty	Ν
Provoking, summer of 1981	14 (0.02)	61 (1.3)	16 (0.07)	8 (1.4)	50	138
Opeongo, summer of 1981	74 (0.15)	11 (0.07)	14 (0.05)	1 (0.05)	23	97
1981 M–W test	P < 0.001*†	P < 0.01*†	P > 0.50	P > 0.50		
Provoking, summer of 1982	10 (0.04)	72 (1.4)	14 (0.3)	4 (0.08)	30	64
Bridle, summer of 1982	45 (0.2)	28 (0.4)	15 (0.2)	9 (0.2)	13	63
1982 M-W test	P < 0.001*†	$P < 0.05^{*}^{+}$	P > 0.50	P > 0.50		
Provoking, summer of 2002	2 (1.5)	62 (6.6)	3 (1.9)	32 (5.5)	33	60
Opeongo, summer of 2002	43 (7.5)	10 (4.2)	16 (5.5)	31 (5.8)	29	62
2002 M–W test	P < 0.001*†	$P < 0.001^{*}^{\dagger}$	P > 0.10	P > 0.50		

Note: Standard errors are shown in parentheses under each prey category. The between-lake P values from M–W tests are shown.

*Significant difference at P < 0.05.

†Significant difference at P < 0.012 after Bonferroni correction.

Table 4. Diet for Provoking Lake (Pro) and Opeongo Lake (Op) smallmouth bass, *Micropterus dolomieu*, in 2002, including the mean percentage of occurrence of prey items (% Fish, % Crayfish, % Insects, % Plankton, % Other), the percentage of empty stomachs (% Empty), and the sample size (*N*) used for different body lengths (underlined lengths) of smallmouth bass.

	5–10 cm		10–15 cm		15–20 cm		20–25 cm		>25 cm	
	Pro	Op	Pro	Op	Pro	Op	Pro	Op	Pro	Op
% Fish	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	43 (18)	0 (0)	8 (6.9)	0 (0)	11 (8.3)	22 (7.2)	14 (8.3)
% Crayfish	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	44 (15)	4 (3.4)	50 (12)	6 (1.6)	71 (11)
% Insects	12 (11)	28 (24)	57 (20)	21 (13)	85 (7.2)	14 (9.2)	65 (11)	5 (4.2)	44 (13)	0 (0)
% Plankton	25 (22)	72 (24)	41 (20)	7 (6.3)	4 (3.7)	6 (4.6)	6 (4.5)	6 (4.2)	17 (4.8)	4 (3.1)
% Other	62 (21)	0 (0)	1 (1.2)	28 (16)	10 (6.5)	28 (12)	25 (11)	28 (11)	11 (3.2)	11 (6.6)
% Empty	20	0	28	22	28	31	33	44	50	26
N	5	4	7	9	18	13	18	16	12	19

Note: Standard errors are in parentheses.

creased, the proportion of insects in the diet dropped in Opeongo but remained high in Provoking. In Opeongo, large-bodied prey, such as fish and crayfish, first appeared in the diet of 10- to 15-cm and 15- to 20-cm bass, and the percentage of crayfish in the diet increased until it made up 71% of the diet in the largest bass. Even the large size classes of the Provoking bass consumed a low proportion of large-bodied prey. Also, the percentage of empty stomachs increased in both populations as bass got larger, but was considerably higher in Provoking bass than in Opeongo bass in the largest size class (Table 4). The growth rates of bass in Provoking started to fall behind Opeongo at the size (15– 20 cm) when crayfish first appeared in the Opeongo diet.

Mortality

Nesting males

Return rates of first-time nesting males were lower for Provoking than Opeongo across all ages (Table 5). Betweenlake differences were significant for ages 5 and 7 years (Table 5). This suggests that following reproduction and nestguarding, Provoking males suffer greater mortality than Opeongo males.

Young-of-the-year: removal experiment

One hour after removal of the male, there was no betweenlake difference in the reduction of eggs in the nest (Fig. 6;

Table 5. Mortality (M) for first-time nesting male smallmouth bass, *Micropterus dolomieu*, estimated by dividing the number of males that did not return to spawn in a subsequent year by the total number (N) of males clipped for that age.

	Provoking		Opeongo		χ^2 test		
Age (years)	М	N	М	Ν	χ	Р	
4	1	14	0.8	10	3.05	0.08	
5	0.92	39	0.71	26	7.02	0.008*†	
6	0.70	44	0.64	120	0.57	0.45	
7	0.86	28	0.46	41	10.98	0.0009*†	
8-10	1	5	0.55	11	3.31	0.069	

Note: To test between-lake differences, results (statistic and P value) of χ^2 tests are presented.

*Significant difference at P < 0.05.

†Significant difference of P < 0.01 after Bonferroni correction.

 $F_{[1,19]} = 2.2$, P > 0.10). After 24 h, significantly more Opeongo eggs were removed from the nest relative to Provoking (Fig. 6; $F_{[1,19]} = 17.5$, P < 0.001). Wind and wave action during the course of the experiment was minimal in both lakes; therefore it is reasonable to infer that the observed egg losses were due to predation.

Discussion

Since introduction, the Provoking and Opeongo small-

Fig. 6. The proportion of eggs removed by predation \pm standard error, 1 and 24 h after removal of the nest-guarding male in Provoking (P) and Opeongo (O) Lakes.



mouth bass populations have shown considerable divergence in life history traits. Our data from the latest study period (1993–2003) demonstrate the following: (*i*) Provoking young-of-the-year bass likely experience lower mortality from predators than Opeongo young-of-the-year; (*ii*) juvenile bass (ages ≤ 4 years) in both populations have similar individual growth rates; and (*iii*) Provoking males have slower adult growth rates, younger ages and smaller sizes at nesting, older ages and smaller sizes at maturity, higher gonadal investment, and greater mortality following reproduction than Opeongo males; and (*iv*) Provoking females have slower adult growth rates, similar ages and smaller sizes at maturity, higher ovarian dry matter content, similar fecundities, and larger ovary egg sizes than Opeongo females.

The apparent contradiction between an older age at 50% maturity in Provoking males generally, but a younger nesting distribution relative to Opeongo, can be explained as follows. The observed age distribution of nesters suggests that more young mature males were successful in acquiring nests and broods in Provoking than in Opeongo. This is consistent with the fact that in Provoking, size at maturity is similar between sexes (minimum length at maturity is 20 cm for males versus 19 cm for females; length at 50% maturity is 22 cm for males versus 21 cm for females), while in Opeongo, male size at maturity is substantially less than female size at maturity (minimum length at maturity is 18 cm for males

versus 24 cm for females; length at 50% maturity is 24 cm for males versus 26 cm for females). Given that smallmouth bass mate size assortatively (Ridgway et al. 1991; Mackereth et al. 1999), small mature males in Provoking have small mature females to mate with; hence, they appear in the age distribution of nesting males. However, in Opeongo, small and (or) young males have no small females to mate with; hence, they do not appear in the age distribution of nesting males.

Numerous factors (i.e., food availability, diet ontogeny, mortality, temperature) could have contributed to the divergence of life history traits between the Provoking and Opeongo populations; however, some can be eliminated from consideration. Warmer water temperatures promote higher growth rates in smallmouth bass (e.g., Shuter and Post 1990) but Provoking had more warm days (i.e., days above 15 and 20 °C) than Opeongo, despite slower growth rates; this observation coupled with the overall similar temperature patterns between lakes rules out temperature as a primary factor driving the divergence. Likewise, higher parasite loads, perhaps associated with the high densities in Provoking, could force lower growth rates; however, Orendorff (1983) compared parasite loads between the two populations and found no evidence that they had an impact on growth or body condition.

Instead, we propose that differences in the foraging environment supported by the two lakes could have played a major role in driving the divergence in life histories. The slowgrowing adult smallmouth bass in Provoking are more likely to have empty stomachs, eat smaller prey, and have fewer grams of food in their stomachs than the bass in Opeongo. Provoking individuals have low somatic energy reserves, and when transferred into a lower density population, their diet changed and growth increased. These observations suggest that food availability in Provoking is lower than in Opeongo, a likely consequence of intraspecific competition caused by high population densities.

In addition to prey availability, the types of prey being consumed in Provoking and Opeongo have likely contributed to the differences in growth. It is common for many species of fish, including smallmouth bass, to switch to eating larger prey as they grow (Carlander 1977). An inability to switch to larger prey types has been proposed as an explanation for slow growth in yellow perch (P. flavescens) (Sherwood et al. 2002). Smallmouth bass typically switch from eating small prey, such as insects, when they are young, to eating larger prey, such as fish and crayfish, as they become adults (Olson and Young 2003). Crayfish are the preferred food of adult bass in many systems (e.g., Olson and Young 2003), including Opeongo, and are in small quantity in the diet of Provoking bass. In Opeongo, crayfish first appear in the diet when bass reach sizes between 15 and 20 cm. Since this is the body size at which growth rates in Provoking start to fall behind Opeongo, it suggests that the inability to switch to larger prey may have been directly involved in the growth rate drop. The energy content of somatic tissue provides further evidence for the role of prey switching as the body sizes at which Provoking somatic energy begins to drop (12-17 cm) are similar to the size category at which diet and growth differences emerge.

The decline in growth rate and somatic energy that accompanies continued reliance on smaller prey in Provoking may be attributed to increases in the cost of feeding activity (Kerr 1971). As a predator grows, it requires increasing rations to maintain positive growth rates (Kerr 1971). If large prey are not available, the number of small prey needed to maintain positive growth will increase with predator body size, and the amount of energy required to obtain increasing numbers of small prey will also rise (Sherwood et al. 2002). In Provoking, the absence of larger prey would act jointly with low prey availability (i.e., due to high population density) to force reduced somatic energy density and slower growth rates on older bass.

The production of large eggs in Provoking is in concordance with theoretical expectations that it is advantageous to produce larger eggs in environments where food availability is low (Hutchings 1991; Roff 1992). Larger eggs typically produce larger larvae with larger energy stores; such larvae can feed more efficiently, better endure food shortages, and are better able to avoid predators (Marteinsdottir and Steinarsson 1998; Zhao et al. 2001). Experimental research has shown that females can manipulate offspring size in response to food availability (Reznick and Yang 1993), which may be the case in the Provoking bass. However, we acknowledge that not all fish species show the expected production of large progeny in growth-limited environments (e.g., Quinn et al. 2004), suggesting that the generality of this pattern may not hold.

Our measures of direct reproductive investment suggest that both sexes in Provoking invest more energy in reproductive products than their counterparts in Opeongo. Spring gonad weights are higher for both sexes; among males, this holds across the observed weight range and among females, it appears to hold for the lower half of the observed weight range. In addition, our observations of lower somatic energy density and higher ovary proportion dry matter content in Provoking reinforce the conclusion that Provoking fish invest relatively more of their available energy directly into reproductive products. Since gonad energy density varies with gonad dry matter content (Henderson et al. 2000), higher gonad energy densities, coupled with lower somatic energy densities, imply that the ratio of gonadal energy to somatic energy among Provoking adults exceeds that in Opeongo.

Despite resource limitation, the slow-growing Provoking adults are investing more energy into reproduction than their faster growing counterparts in Opeongo. Intuitively, and given theoretical predictions to the contrary (Gadgil and Bossert 1970; Pianka 1970; Ylikarjula et al. 1999), this may seem surprising. However, an increase in reproductive investment by itself will lead to a lower somatic growth rate for adults (Lester et al. 2004), and high reproductive investment could be a viable strategy for dealing with a foraging environment that only provides low densities of smaller prey. If prey density is low and large-sized food unavailable, somatic energy may drop systematically with size, and breeding males particularly may suffer additional mortality following the energetically costly nest-guarding period. Therefore, in resource-poor environments, males will have fewer breeding opportunities over their shorter lifetimes and, as a consequence, may invest more energy into each breeding opportunity that remains to them. Findings consistent with this idea have been reported in several studies of related centrarchids. For example, Fox (1994) found an association between high gonadal investment, early maturation, and high population density in pumpkinseed (*L. gibbosus*) populations, and Aday et al. (2002) found that stunted bluegill (*Lepomis macrochirus*) populations had younger, smaller nesting males. The presence of parental care (or other reproductive behaviors that incur high costs) may produce discrepancies between observed patterns and the expectations of simple theory.

Theoretical (Gadgil and Bossert 1970; Law 1979; Lester et al. 2004) and empirical (Hutchings 1993; Reznick et al. 1996; Shuter et al. 2005) research show that high adult to juvenile mortality rates select for younger ages at maturation and increased reproductive investment. Our observations of reproductive investment in Provoking and Opeongo bass concur with these previous studies; they also match our observations of mortality as follows. First, juvenile mortality rates appear higher in Provoking than in Opeongo. This is supported by our removal experiment, where the relative predation pressure of newborns per unit time is lower in Provoking than in Opeongo and by the fact that there is a lack of species that prey on young life stages of bass in Provoking. The only other littoral species in Provoking is yellow perch, whereas Opeongo has many littoral species (mean number of individual fish other than smallmouth bass caught per trap net in 2001 was 3.2 in Provoking and 12.6 in Opeongo). Second, mortality following reproduction is higher for Provoking males than for Opeongo males, as we have shown, but this is not due to fishing mortality because less than 3% of the Provoking population is removed annually by anglers (Orendorff 1983). Third, Orendorff (1983) reported higher natural mortality rates for ages 6-12 in Provoking bass (35%) relative to Opeongo bass (27.5%), measured using catch-curve analysis. The above three observations suggest that the ratio of adult to juvenile mortality may be higher in Provoking than in Opeongo, which are predicted to produce younger ages at maturation and increased reproductive investment. However, although we observed higher reproductive investment in Provoking, the age at 50% maturity was similar between populations, at least for females. An explanation for the absence of an effect on age at maturity is that the differences in mortality may reflect a much greater cost of reproduction in Provoking due to severe resource limitation. Expectations of life history theory may breakdown if higher mortality is a consequence of the decision to reproduce, rather than simply an aspect of the environment that influences optimal reproductive strategy.

Rapid phenotypic divergence in life history traits attributed to resource availability or mortality can be environmentally induced and (or) genetically based (Heath and Roff 1987; Haugen and Vøllestad 2001; Reznick et al. 2001). The Provoking–Bridle transplant experiment demonstrated that the slow growth of Provoking smallmouth bass has a significant phenotypic, environmentally determined component, since the growth rates of Provoking and Bridle individuals responded quickly (within a year) to changes in their feeding environment. However, we should note that in our transplant experiment, fish were not grown in a common environment (as in a traditional common garden experiment), and as a result, it is not possible to completely discount a genetic difference. Instead, our experiment provides support for the hypothesis that the slow growth of the Provoking population has a significant environmental component. This hypothesis is further corroborated by a recent analysis suggesting that differences in the maturation patterns of males between the two populations are due to phenotypic plasticity in somatic growth and not the result of evolutionary change (Dunlop et al. 2005).

This study provides insight into the rapid divergence of life history traits that can occur in introduced populations. The two bass populations described here were stocked from the same source approximately 20 generations ago (Christie 1957; Orendorff 1983; Kerr and Lasenby 2000) and thus did not originally differ in life history. The slow adult growth rates, small sizes at maturation, and diet differences observed in Provoking have persisted since at least the early 1980s (4 generations before present), and there is evidence in old Algonquin Park records (Department of Lands and Forests records, 1947–1948) that Provoking smallmouth bass were exhibiting small body sizes in the 1940s (12 generations before present). Thus the divergence of growth between Provoking and Opeongo smallmouth bass has occurred, at most, within eight generations of introduction.

This raises the question of why the two introductions proceeded rapidly to two quite different, apparently steady, states: one characterized by high densities and low adult growth rates and the other characterized by low densities and relatively high adult growth rates. An answer to this question may lie with the very low diversity of the Provoking fish community: predators on all life stages are rare and competitors for the adults are absent. The higher egg and larval survival rates permitted by the absence of predators would allow the Provoking population to expand rapidly, and the absence of competitors would permit the expansion to continue until low resource availability imposed sufficient reductions in fecundity and (or) increases in mortality to end population growth. In Opeongo, predationdriven high mortality rates on egg and larvae would ensure that population stability could be achieved with less suppression of adult growth and fecundity, and thus at lower adult densities.

Rapid divergence in life histories has been observed in other isolated fish populations, most commonly in response to differential mortality rates (e.g., Reznick et al. 1990; Haugen and Vøllestad 2001). Our study provides evidence of the rapid divergence of somatic growth and reproductive traits that can occur in a parental care species, and it suggests that lesser studied factors, such as diet ontogeny, can shape patterns of life history response.

Acknowledgments

We thank the staff and students of the Harkness Laboratory of Fisheries Research for help in the field and laboratory. We give special thanks to H. Surette, E. Ma, T. Michalak, A. Taylor, S. Cope, J. Guevara, T. Middel, G. Ridout, D. Brown, K. Vascotto, and S. Milne for providing field assistance. We thank B. Henderson and the Upper Great Lakes Management Unit for use of laboratory facilities and equipment. Financial assistance was provided by NSERC (Canada) grants awarded to B. Shuter and H. Rodd and by a Premier's Research Excellence Award (Government of Ontario) awarded to H. Rodd.

References

- Abrams, P.A., and Rowe, L. 1996. The effects of predation on the age and size at maturity of prey. Evolution, **50**: 1052–1061.
- Aday, D.D., Kush, C.M., Wahl, D.H., and Philipp, D.P. 2002. The influence of stunted body size on the reproductive ecology of bluegill *Lepomis macrochirus*. Ecol. Freshw. Fish, **11**: 190–195.
- Carlander, K.D. 1977. Life history data on centrarchid fishes of the United States and Canada. The Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa.
- Casselman, J.M. 1987. Determination of age and growth. *In* The biology of fish growth. *Edited by* A.H. Weatherley and H.S. Gill. Academic Press, London. pp. 209–242.
- Christie, W.J. 1957. The bass fishery of Lake Opeongo. M.A. thesis, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario.
- Dunlop, E.S., Shuter, B.J., and Ridgway, M.S. 2005. Isolating the influence of growth rate on maturation patterns in the smallmouth bass, *Micropterus dolomieu*. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 62: 844–853.
- Foster, J.R. 1977. Pulsed gastric lavage: an efficient method of removing the stomach contents of live fish. Prog. Fish. Cult. 39: 166–169.
- Fox, M.G. 1994. Growth, density, and interspecific influences on pumpkinseed sunfish life histories. Ecology, **75**: 1157–1171.
- Francis, R.I. 1990. Back-calculation of fish length: a critical review. J. Fish Biol. 36: 883–902.
- Gadgil, M., and Bossert, W.H. 1970. Life historical consequences of natural selection. Am. Nat. 104: 1–24.
- Haugen, T.O., and Vøllestad, L.A. 2000. Population differences in early life-history traits in grayling. J. Evol. Biol. **13**: 897–905.
- Haugen, T.O., and Vøllestad, L.A. 2001. A century of life-history evolution in grayling. Genetica, **112**: 475–491.
- Heath, D., and Roff, D.A. 1987. Test of genetic differentiation in growth of stunted and nonstunted populations of yellow perch and pumpkinseed. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. **116**: 98–102.
- Henderson, B.A., Trivedi, T., and Collins, N. 2000. Annual cycle of energy allocation to growth and reproduction of yellow perch. J. Fish Biol. 57: 122–133.
- Hutchings, J.A. 1991. Fitness consequences of variation in egg size and food abundance in brook trout *Salvelinus fontinalis*. Evolution, 45: 1162–1168.
- Hutchings, J.A. 1993. Adaptive life histories effected by agespecific survival and growth rate. Ecology, **74**: 673–684.
- Kerr, S.J., and Lasenby, T.A. 2000. Bass stocking and transfers: and annotated bibliography and literature review. Fisheries Section, Fish and Wildlife Branch, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, Peterborough.
- Kerr, S.R. 1971. Prediction of fish growth efficiency in nature. J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 28: 809–814.
- Koskinen, M.T., Haugen, T.O., and Primmer, C.R. 2002. Contemporary fisherian life-history evolution in small salmonid populations. Nature (Lond.), 419: 826–830.
- Law, R. 1979. Optimal life histories under age-specific predation. Am. Nat. **114**: 399–417.
- Lester, N.P., Shuter, B.J., and Abrams, P.A. 2004. Interpreting the von Bertalanffy model of somatic growth in fish: the cost of reproduction. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B, **271**: 1625–1631.
- Mackereth, R.W., Noakes, D.L.G., and Ridgway, M.S. 1999. Sizebased variation in somatic energy reserves and parental expenditure by male smallmouth bass, *Micropterus dolomieu*. Environ. Biol. Fishes, **56**: 263–275.

- Marteinsdottir, G., and Steinarsson, A. 1998. Maternal influence on the size and viability of Iceland cod *Gadus morhua* eggs and larvae. J. Fish Biol. **52**: 1241–1258.
- Martin, N.V., and Fry, F.E.J. 1973. Lake Opeongo: the ecology of the fish community and of Man's effects on it. Great Lakes Fishery Commission, Ann Arbor, Michigan, Tech. Rep. No. 24.
- McCullagh, P., and Nelder, J.A. 1989. Generalized linear models. Chapman & Hall, London.
- Mylius, S.D., and Dieckmann, O. 1995. On evolutionarily stable life histories, optimization and the need to be specific about density dependence. Oikos, **74**: 218–224.
- Olson, M.H., and Young, B.P. 2003. Patterns of diet and growth in co-occurring populations of largemouth bass and smallmouth bass. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. **132**: 1207–1213.
- Orendorff, J.A. 1983. The relationship of feeding, growth and maturation in three northern smallmouth bass, *Micropterus dolomieui*, Lacépède, populations. M.Sc. thesis, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario.
- Pianka, E.R. 1970. On r- and K- selection. Am. Nat. 104: 592-597.
- Quinn, G.P., and Keough, M.J. 2002. Experimental design and data analysis for biologists. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Quinn, T.P., Kinnison, M.T., and Unwin, M.J. 2001. Evolution of chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) populations in New Zealand: pattern, rate, and process. Genetica, **112–113**: 493–513.
- Quinn, T.P., Vøllestad, L.A., Peterson, J., and Gallucci, V. 2004. Influences of freshwater and marine growth on the egg size–egg number tradeoff in Coho and Chinook salmon. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 133: 55–65.
- Reznick, D., and Yang, A.P. 1993. The influence of fluctuating resources on life-history — patterns of allocation and plasticity in female guppies. Ecology, 74: 2011–2019.
- Reznick, D.A., Bryga, H., and Endler, J.A. 1990. Experimentally induced life-history evolution in a natural population. Nature (Lond.), 346: 357–359.
- Reznick, D.N., Butler, M.J., IV, Rodd, F.H., and Ross, P.N. 1996. Life history evolution in guppies (*Poecilia reticulata*): 6. Differential mortality as a mechanism for natural selection. Evolution, 50: 1651–1660.
- Reznick, D., Butler, M.J., IV, and Rodd, H. 2001. Life-history evolution in guppies. VII. The comparative ecology of high- and low-predation environments. Am. Nat. 157: 126–140.
- Reznick, D., Bryant, M.J., and Bashey, F. 2002. r- and K-selection revisited: the role of population regulation in life-history evolution. Ecology, 83: 1509–1520.
- Ricker, W.E. 1975. Computation and interpretation of biological statistics of fish populations. Fisheries Research Board of Canada Bull. No. 191.

- Ricker, W.E. 1992. Back-calculation of fish lengths based on proportionality between scale and length increments. J. Fish. Res. Board Can. 49: 1018–1026.
- Ridgway, M.S., Shuter, B.J., and Post, E.E. 1991. The relative influence of body size and territorial behaviour on nesting asynchrony in male smallmouth bass, *Micropterus dolomieui* (Pisces: Centrarchidae). J. Anim. Ecol. **60**: 665–681.
- Ridgway, M.S., Shuter, B.J., Middel, T.A., and Gross, M.L. 2002. Spatial ecology and density-dependent processes in smallmouth bass: the juvenile transition hypothesis. *In* Black bass: ecology, conservation, and management. *Edited by* D.P. Philipp and M.S. Ridgway. Am. Fish. Soc. Symp. **31**: 47–60.
- Roff, D.A. 1992. The evolution of life histories: theory and analysis. Chapman & Hall, New York.
- Sherwood, G.D., Pazzia, I., Moeser, A., Hontela, A., and Rasmussen, J.B. 2002. Shifting gears: enzymatic evidence for the energetic advantage of switching diet in wild-living fish. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 59: 229–241.
- Shuter, B.J., and Post, J.R. 1990. Climate, population viability, and the zoogeography of temperate fishes. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 119: 314–336.
- Shuter, B.J., and Ridgway, M.S. 2002. Bass in time and space: operational definitions of risk. *In* Black bass: ecology, conservation, and management. *Edited by* D.P. Philipp and M.S. Ridgway. Am. Fish. Soc. Symp. **31**: 235–250.
- Shuter, B.J., Matuszek, J.E., and Reiger, H.A. 1987. Optimal use of creel survey data in assessing population behaviour: Lake Opeongo lake trout (*Salvelinus namaycush*) and smallmouth bass (*Micropterus dolomieui*), 1936–83. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 44: 229–238.
- Shuter, B.J., Lester, N.P., LaRose, J., Purchase, C.F., Vascotto, K., Morgan, G.E., Collins, N.C., and Abrams, P.A. 2005. Optimal life histories and food web position: linkages between somatic growth, reproductive investment and mortality. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 62: 738–746.
- Unwin, M.J., Quinn, T.P., Kinnison, M.T., and Boustead, N.C. 2000. Divergence in juvenile growth and life history in two recently colonized and partially isolated chinook salmon populations. J. Fish Biol. 57: 943–960.
- Ylikarjula, J., Heino, M., and Dieckmann, U. 1999. Ecology and adaptation of stunted growth in fish. Evol. Ecol. 13: 433–453.
- Zhao, Y., Chen, Y., and Brown, J.A. 2001. Impacts of egg and larval size on survival and growth of Atlantic cod under different feeding conditions. J. Fish Biol. 59: 569–581.